

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,60	\$	06/01/2001	Robert E. Callies	Lindsay 51	2262
	75	90 06/04/2003			
Joel H.				EXAMINER	
CUMM	INGS &	McFARRON, MANZO MEHLER, LTD.), ,	DOUGLAS, LISA ANN	
200 West Adams Street - Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3752	
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	<i>3</i> '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/872,604	CALLIES ET AL.				
Office Action St	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lisa A. Douglas	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on <u>14</u>	<u>April 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Ti	his action is non-final.					
, 							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are a	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rej	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are o	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-8 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s	awing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/872,604

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hane. Hane shows all of the basic device including a distribution tube assembly having a main supply line 7, frame 4, two fluid passageways 2 and 3, adaptor 6, web and valve 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 and 15-24are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hane in view of Baker.

Hane shows all of the basic device except for the frame. Baker shows another distribution tube assembly have two passages 18 and a frame 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 3752

to modify the Hane device to have a frame as taught by Baker to protect the two passages.

5. Claims 14 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hane in view of Baker as applied to claim1 and 16 above, and further in view of Lew.

Hane shows all of the basic device except for a third passageway. Lew shows another distribution tube assembly having a third passageway. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Hane device to have a third passageway to achieve the desire spray coverage.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa A. Douglas whose telephone number is (703) 308-0265. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tues.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,604

Art Unit: 3752

. 3

Lisa A. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

ld June 2, 2003 Page 4